D. Raby · M. Mingelbier · J. J. Dodson · B. Klein Y. Lagadeuc · L. Legendre

# Food-particle size and selection by bivalve larvae in a temperate embayment<sup>1</sup>

Received: 17 July 1996 / Accepted: 20 September 1996

Abstract Epifluorescence microscopy was used to analyze the stomach contents of bivalve larvae collected in the Baie des Chaleurs (western Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada) in order to document food-particle sizes, compare feeding among taxa, and compare the diet with the in situ phytoplankton community. Stomach contents were mainly composed of small autotrophic flagellates (<5  $\mu$ m) and cyanobacteria (<2  $\mu$ m), reflecting the microbial food web which characterizes these waters. More than half (55%) of all veligers examined contained algal cells of 5 to 15  $\mu$ m, whereas only 3% had cells of 15 to 25 µm. Differences in the size ranges of ingested algal cells among similar-sized larvae of different species suggests that veligers actively selected food particles. Among the smallest veligers (185 to 260 µm), scallops (Placopecten magellicanus) and mussels (Mytilus edulis) ingested more  $<5 \mu m$  and 5 to 15  $\mu m$  algae than clams (Mya arenaria). Among larger veligers (261 to 405 µm), clams contained significantly more  $<5 \mu m$  cells than mussels, whereas mussels contained significantly more 5 to 15  $\mu$ m algae than clams. Algal cells of 15 to 25  $\mu$ m were preferentially ingested by mussel veligers. Feeding also differed between different-sized veligers within taxa, i.e. the smallest clam veligers ingested fewer of 5 to 15 µm algae than the larger size classes. Mussel veligers ingested significantly more 15 to 25  $\mu$ m and fewer <5  $\mu$ m cells as their size increased. The dominance of ultra-

Communicated by R.J. Thompson, St. John's

D. Raby · M. Mingelbier · J.J. Dodson (⊠) · B. Klein · Y. Lagadeuc<sup>1</sup> · L. Legendre Département de Biologie, Université Laval, Ste Foy, Québec, G1K 7P4, Canada

Present address: <sup>1</sup>Université de Lille, Station Marine, BP 80, F-62930 Wimereux, France plankton in the nearshore waters of Baie des Chaleurs and in the stomach contents suggests that veliger larvae may be an important export path for carbon produced by small phytoplankton.

# Introduction

Ultraplankton ( $<5 \mu m$ ) play an important role in a wide range of aquatic environments (e.g. reviews by Joint 1986; Stockner and Antia 1986). The ubiquity of ultraplankton has been attributed to the fact that small algae are better competitors for light and nutrients than larger-sized cells (e.g. Raven 1986). Whereas large phytoplankton (>5  $\mu$ m) dominate the traditional marine food web (diatoms-copepods-large metazoans), ultraplankton is associated with the microbial food web, which is known to dissipate considerble energy through respiration (Goldman 1988), thus exporting little energy to large metazoans (Cushing 1989). However, the concept of a closed microbial food web is increasingly questioned as a number of studies have suggested alternative export pathways leading to large metazoans (e.g. Riegman et al. 1993; Gallager et al. 1994; Legendre and Rassoulzadegan 1995).

To date, no other food than unicellular algae has been found to be satisfactory for cultured bivalves. Most of the algal diets used in the laboratory consist of small autotrophic cells like Isochrysis galbana and Monochrisis lutheri (Bayne 1965; Babinchak and Ukeles 1979; Pechenik et al. 1990). As autotrophic prokaryotes such as cyanobacteria are an important component of small phytoplankton (Murphy and Haugen 1985), they may be used as a food source by bivalve larvae in their natural habitat. Despite their small sizes (diameter between 0.5 and 1.5 µm for Synechococcus spp. in the Baie des Chaleurs, Gulf of St. Lawrence, E. Tamigneaux, Université Laval, personal communication), cyanobacteria may be ingested by bivalve larvae in the laboratory and the field (Gallager 1988; Baldwin and Newell 1991; Gallager et al. 1994; Baldwin 1995).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Contribution to the program of OPEN (Ocean Production Enhancement Network, National Center of Excellence, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council, Canada) and GIROQ (Groupe Interuniversitaire de Recherches Océanographiques du Québec)

As algal cells are available in a wide range of taxa and sizes in nature, bivalve larvae may demonstrate a certain degree of particle selection. Active selection of phytoplankton would imply the existence of a mechanism by which particles are sorted during feeding according to their chemotactile attractiveness, size or shape. After visually observing particle manipulation of feeding veligers, Gallager (1988) proposed a sequence of events in the feeding behavior of bivalve larvae which allows food selection at three different levels: (1) rejection by the preoral cirri while transporting particles toward the mouth; (2) rejection from the esophagus by muscular contraction; (3) postingestive selection, where particles pass through the gut without digestion. The analysis of gut contents allows identification of food selection at the first two levels, but not at the third.

Size-related retention of food particles by veligers is partly related to their mouth and esophagus diameters. which gets larger as they grow. As the retention of different size food particles by veligers recorded in feeding experiments is often conducted with veligers of a particular size, the results can not be generalized for all stages of development before settlement. According to the results of Riisgard et al. (1980), mussel larvae (Mytilus edulis) of 5 and 13 d old (lengths: 150 and 170 µm) could not consume particles with diameters smaller than 1 µm or larger than 9 µm. The highest clearance rates were obtained with 2.5 to 3.5 µm particles. Sprung (1984) had the same results with slightly larger mussel larvae (length: 260 µm). In an additional experiment, he demonstrated that those larvae had permanently empty stomachs when fed with the larger algae Scripsiella faeroense (diameter: 20 to 25 µm). As mussel larvae may reach sizes of up to 400 µm before settlement, it is likely that larger algal cells become part of their diet before the end of their pelagic life. Results of incubation experiments with Philine aperta (Hansen 1991) suggested that the upper limit for ingestible particle size increased from 7  $\mu$ m for newly hatched veligers to 18  $\mu$ m for late stage veligers. To our knowledge, the widest size spectrum of particles used by bivalve veligers was reported by Baldwin and Newell (1991) for large umbo-stage oysters, which ingested particles ranging from 0.2 to 30 µm.

Another aspect of bivalve veliger feeding which has not yet been studied in the field is the possible reduction of feeding prior to settlement and metamorphosis. Young veligers feed entirely by means of ciliary currents on their velum. The velum of some species like *Mytilus edulis* (Bayne 1965) and *Crassostrea virginica* (Baker and Mann 1994) degenerate during the later stages of planktonic life. Bayne (1965) also observed a decline in the feeding rate of *M. edulis* veligers at their later stage of development. According to these observations, older, larger veligers may feed less than younger ones in a natural community.

In the present study, we documented the stomach contents of a community of veligers at the later stage of their pelagic life using epifluorescence microscopy. This technique allows detection of the presence of autotrophic plankton in the digestive tracts of the veligers by means of the natural fluorescence of chlorophyll and other photosynthetic accessory pigments (Babinchak and Ukeles 1979; Gallager et al. 1994). In parallel, the characteristics of the phytoplankton community at the sampling site (species composition and concentration) were monitored. We compared the types of fluorescence and the sizes of the algal cells which were present in the stomachs of larvae with the characteristics of those available in situ. The objectives of this study were to quantify the size spectrum of particles ingested by bivalve larvae, to evaluate the importance of small phytoplankton in their diet, and to determine if stomach content differed between similar-sized veligers of different taxa and between different-sized veligers within taxa.

## **Material and methods**

### Sampling of bivalve larvae

Sampling was conducted at Grande-Rivière in the Baie des Chaleurs (48°23.62'N; 64°27.80'W; western Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada) in September 1991. The 20 m deep water column at the sampling station (3 km offshore) was vertically mixed from surface to bottom. Larvae were collected at 2, 4, 8 and 14 m using a high volume pump (Flygt, Model C/D-3085), every 135 min for a period of 52 h (92 samples). Bivalve larvae were then deep-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Detailed procedures of sampling and identification of veligers are given in Raby et al. (1994).

#### Phytoplankton

Samples for phytoplankton identification and enumeration were collected using a flexible hose. The hose was lowered to 20 m, closed by a one-way valve at its lower end, brought on board, and emptied into a single dark container. This method provided samples that were integrated over the 20-m water column. Subsamples (200 ml) were preserved with acidic Lugol's solution, for enumeration under the inverted microscope (Utermöhl 1931; Lund et al. 1958). Magnification of  $400 \times$  allowed the identification of phytoplankton ranging between ca. 1 and 200 µm. Cyanobacteria could not be detected using this technique. The mean size (length for elongated cells or diameter for spherical cells; n = 30) of each algal species was measured using an image analyzer. Since no cells >25  $\mu$ m were observed in the digestive tracts of veligers, only cells <25 µm were considered as potential food for the larvae. In the present study, four size classes were considered: <5, 5 to 14.9, 15 to 24.9 and >25 μm.

#### Microscopic observation of bivalve larvae

After thawing a sample, several hundred larvae were spread on a glass slide with a drop of glycerol to avoid dessication. Only veligers that were lying on their left valves were observed, to avoid bias due to partial hiding of the stomach by the digestive gland. Randomly selected veligers (50 per sample) were identified, measured and observed under epifluorescence for stomach contents. The microscope (Zeiss, Model Axioskop-20) was equipped with two filter sets providing blue-light (No. 05) and green-light (No. 15) excitation in order to detect pigments such as chlorophyll *a* (red under green- and blue-light excitation) and phycoerythrin (yellow-orange under green-light excitation and yellow under blue-light excitation). All samples were analyzed within 12 mo of freezing, and no loss of autofluorescence with time was noted.

We were unable to count the small algal cells ( $<5 \mu m$ ) present in the stomachs because of their high abundances. For example, veligers of *Ostrea edulis* measuring 203 µm ingest between 12 000 and 20 000 cells of *Isochrisis galbana* during 24 h (Walne 1965). Thus, veligers may have several hundreds of food cells in the stomach at the same time. In order to compare the quantity of algae  $<5 \mu m$  ingested by different sizes and taxa of veligers, we measured the fluorescent surface area in stomachs (µm<sup>2</sup>) that contained no large algal cells (5 to 25 µm). The veligers without large algal cells in their digestive tracts represented between 31 and 45% of the total number of larvae observed. Because larger algal cells (5 to 25 µm) were easy to enumerate when present in the stomach (by slowly focusing from the upper to the lower surfaces of the stomach), their numbers were noted according to their diameter (5 to 15 µm and 15 to 25 µm).

The stomachs were easily delimited for most of the veligers, except for older *Mya arenaria* (>350  $\mu$ m) which had thicker shells. This problem was avoided using green-light excitation which enabled to clearly discern the stomach contours because of the presence of cyanobacteria showing a bright yellow-orange fluorescence. Cyanobacteria were present in the digestive tracts of most of the veligers.

## Data analysis

In order to compare the feeding of different-sized veligers, three size classes were defined: A = 185 to 260  $\mu$ m, B = 261 to 330  $\mu$ m and C = 331 to 405  $\mu$ m. The relative importance of the three size classes of food particles (<5, 5 to 15, 15 to 25  $\mu$ m) among the same size class of different species and among size classes within the same species of bivalve larvae were compared using the Welch–ANOVA nonparametric test. Differences were considered significant when P < 0.05.

# Results

## Bivalve larvae community

The veliger community was dominated by *Mya arenaria* (clams) and *Mytilus edulis* (mussels) (Table 1). Sizes of veligers differed among species (Fig. 1). Mussel veligers were the largest bivalve larvae in the samples (Size Classes B and C), most of them having already developed a foot. Even though scallop larvae (Pectinidae) were the smallest veligers in the community (99% in Size



Fig. 1 Proportions of the three size classes of the three main taxa of bivalve larvae sampled in the western Gulf of St. Lawrence in 1991: A (185 to 260  $\mu$ m), B (261 to 330  $\mu$ m) and C (331 to 405  $\mu$ m). Number of veligers observed given *above bars* 

 Table 1
 Taxonomic composition of bivalve veligers sampled at

 Grande-Rivière, Baie des Chaleurs

	Clams	Mussels	Scallops	Others
Counts % of the community	2904	562	222	502
	69	13	5	12

Class A), they had attained the maximum size they reach, in the region, before settlement (240 to 250  $\mu$ m, Harvey et al. 1993). The present study thus corresponds to the last stage of development of bivalve veligers, just prior to settlement and metamorphosis.

## Phytoplankton community

During the entire sampling period, the phytoplankton assemblage was numerically dominated by flagellates  $<5 \mu m$ , such as Prasinophyceae, which represented ca. 80% of counts (Table 2). The 5 to 14.9 µm fraction (ca. 16% of the counts) included flagellates such as Crypto-, Chryso- and Euglenophyceae, dinoflagellates (e.g. Gymnodium sp.), and pennate diatoms (e.g. Nitzschia closterium). The 15 to 25 µm fraction (ca. 2.5%) contained dinoflagellates (e.g. Dinophysis sp. and Gyrodinium sp.), centric and pennate diatoms (e.g. Nitzschia sp. and Skeletonema sp.), silicoflagellates (e.g. Distephanus sp. and Ebria sp.), and coccolithophorids. The fraction >25  $\mu$ m (ca. 1.5%) was represented by dinoflagellates (Torodinium sp. and Goniaulax sp.), diatoms in chains (e.g. Chaetoceros sp. and Thalassiosira sp.), and pennate diatoms (e.g. Nitzschia longissima). Finally, small variations were observed during the 52-h sampling period in both proportions and absolute values of counts in the four phytoplankton size fractions (Fig. 2a, b), suggesting that the phytoplankton assemblage at the anchor station was relatively similar over the sampling period.



Fig. 2 Changes during the 52-h sampling period of a proportions (%) and b absolute values of cell counts in four phytoplankton size fractions (*H* high tide; *L* low tide)

Table 2         Taxonomic composi-
tion of the four phytoplankton
size classes sampled in Baie-des-
Chaleurs. Lengths (µm) and
absolute $(10^3 \text{ cells } l^{-1})$ and rel-
ative (%) cell counts averaged
for each taxonomic group over
the 52-h sampling period

Size interval	Taxonomic group	Length (µm)	$10^3$ cells $l^{-1}$	%
<5 µm	Prasinophyceae (flagellates)	2.0	41.0	2.47
	Unidentified flagellates	3.5	1282.8	77.14
5–14.9 µm	Cryptophyceae (flagellates)	10.7	135.9	8.17
	Diatoms (pennate)	13.1	0.7	0.04
	Dinoflagellates	13.2	7.0	0.42
	Unidentified flagellates	14.5	125.3	7.54
15–25 μm	Coccolithophorids	15.0	0.3	0.02
	Diatoms (centric)	16.3	3.0	0.18
	Diatoms (pennate)	20.5	0.9	0.05
	Dinoflagellates	20.8	22.6	1.36
	Silicoflagellates	22.4	12.0	0.72
>25 μm Total	Chains of diatoms (centric) Diatoms (pennate) Dinoflagellates	19.0 34.5 41.3	5.7 4.4 21.4 1663.0	0.34 0.26 1.29 100

## Stomach content observations

Most larvae (99%) contained a mixture of small autotrophic cells in their digestive tracts (Fig. 3) that included algae with diameters ranging from 3 to 5  $\mu$ m. These cells fluoresced red under both blue- and greenlight excitation indicating the presence of chlorophyll *a*. Smaller cells (<2  $\mu$ m), which were also present in the digestive tracts of most larvae, were identified as cyanobacteria because of their bright yellow fluorescence under blue-light excitation, and bright yellow-orange fluorescence under green-light excitation, which is typical of phycoerythrine. High concentrations of cyanobacteria were often noted in the stomachs of scallop larvae, but systematic estimation of the numbers of cyanobacteria in stomachs was not possible.

Phytoplankton of 5 to 15  $\mu$ m were present in the stomachs of 54.6% of the veligers. Larger algae (15 to 25  $\mu$ m) were found in only 3.4% of the veligers (Fig. 4). Mean numbers of 5 to 15 and 15 to 25  $\mu$ m algae present in the stomachs were situated between 0 and 2, but some larvae had ingested up to a dozen cells (Fig. 4).

Fig. 3 Occurrence of each size class of prey in the diet of three size classes of mussel, clam and scallop



 Table 3 Comparisons of stomach content between similar-sized veligers (Size Classes A, B and C) of different taxa, using Welch–ANOVA nonparametric test

Size of food cells	$2 \times 2$ comparisons	P-value
Size Class A (185–260 µm)		
< 5 μm	mussels > clams	$0.0467^{*}$
	mussels = scallops	0.0609
	scallops > clams	0.0000
5–15 µm	mussels > clams	0.0340
	mussels = scallops	0.5791
	scallops > clams	$0.0000^{*}$
15–25 μ	mussels = clams	0.2510
	mussels = scallops	0.1415
	clams = scallops	0.0504
Size Class B (261–330 um)		
< 5 µm	clams > mussels	$0.0000^{*}$
5–15 μm	mussels > clams	$0.0004^{*}$
15–25 μm	mussels > clams	$0.0013^{*}$
Size Class C (331-405 µm)		
< 5 μm	clams > mussels	$0.0000^{*}$
5–15 µm	mussels > clams	$0.0007^{*}_{-}$
15–25 μm	mussels > clams	$0.0000^{*}$

\*Significant difference (P < 0.05)

Comparisons of the stomach contents of clams, mussels and scallops were made independently for each size class. Species-specific differences in the size range of ingested algal cells were observed (Table 3; Figs. 4, 5). Among the smallest veligers (Class A), the relative importance of the <5 and 5 to 15 µm algae in stomachs was

Fig. 4 Relative importance of each size class of food particles in the stomachs of three size classes of mussel, clam and scallop larvae. Relative importance was evaluated as the mean number of food particles present in the stomachs. For  $< 5 \mu m$  cells values are given as surface area occupied in the stomach. Number of veligers observed given *above bars. Error bars* = 95% confindence intervals significantly higher for scallops and mussels than for clams. Among larger veligers (Classes B and C), clams contained significantly more  $<5 \mu m$  cells than mussels, whereas mussels contained significantly more 5 to 15  $\mu m$  algal cells than clams, despite similar occurrences of these cells. Larger algal cells (15 to 25  $\mu m$ ) were preferentially ingested (occurrence and relative importance) by mussel veliger of Size Classes B and C.

Feeding of veligers also differed among the three size classes within the same taxa. The smallest clam veligers ingested fewer 5 to 15  $\mu$ m algal cells than the larger size classes (*P* <0.01 for comparisons between Size Classes A/B and A/C; Fig. 3). Mussel veligers ingested more 15 to 25  $\mu$ m cells (*P* <0.01) and fewer <5  $\mu$ m cells (*P* <0.01) as their sizes increased (Fig. 4).

Considering the total surface area occupied by autotrophic cells as an index of stomach fullness, clams of Size Classes B and C were significantly fuller than Class A clams (P < 0.01, Fig. 5). Although stomach fullness of mussel larvae tended to decline as veligers increased in size, the differences were not significant (P > 0.05, Fig. 5). Finally, 99% of the scallop veligers were <260 µm (Class A) so that similar comparisons were not possible.

## Discussion



Small-sized phytoplankton (<5 µm) were a major component of the diet of bivalve veligers for all species and



**Fig. 5** Total stomach content of mussels and clams according to their size class. Fluorescent surface was measured under blue-light excitation (filter No. 05). Number of veligers observed given *above bars. Error bars* = 95% confidence intervals

size classes present in our samples. As 97.5% of the small phytoplankton sampled at the anchor station were flagellates, these algae constitued a major part of the veliger's diet. In addition to small flagellates, bivalve larvae also ingested cyanobacteria despite their small size (1 to 2 µm), which seemed to be part of their normal diet. These observations are consistent with those of Gallager et al. (1994) and Baldwin (1995) and indicate that larvae may use small algae to a greater extent than previous laboratory studies suggested (Riisgard et al. 1980; Bayne 1983; Sprung 1984). Riisgard et al. (1980) concluded that the clearance of particles between 1 and 2 µm represented only 20% of the maximal clearance rate, and particles <1 µm were probably not ingested. In contrast, it has been shown that bivalve larvae can feed on bacteria, and even show enhanced growth when fed with a mixture of bacteria and algae (Martin and Mengus 1977; Douillet 1993). Considering the low retention efficiency reported for small particles, the presence of cyanobacteria in the stomachs of most of the larvae observed in the present study may be explained by the availability of these cells in the natural environment. Indeed, high numbers and high biomass of small-sized phytoplankton have been reported in the nearshore waters of the Baie des Chaleurs, where the pelagic ecosystem is dominated by microbial components during summer and autumn (Mingelbier 1995; Tamigneaux et al. 1995, 1997). The dominance of small phytoplankton in stomach contents reflects the size structure of phytoplankton and organic seston in the Bay (Claereboudt et al. 1995). High concentrations of cyanobacteria (up to  $9.5 \times 10^7$  cells 1<sup>-1</sup> during August and September; E. Tamigneaux, Université Laval, personal communication) may be an important food source for bivalve veligers. The nutritive value of cyanobacteria for bivalve larvae is probably important, as Gallager et al. (1994) recorded a retention efficiency of 55% of cell carbon with Mercenaria mercenaria L. veligers fed on Synechococcus sp. Cyanobacteria are also an important part of the diet of tintinnids (Bernard and Rassoulzadegan 1993).

Even if small cells ( $<5 \mu m$ ) were often ingested in such high numbers that individual cells could no longer be discriminated, larger cells were also ingested, especially by the larvae of mussels and scallops. Compared to clams, the larvae of mussels and scallops ingested more 5 to 15 µm algal cells. The largest algae observed in the stomachs did not exceed 25 µm, and were almost exclusively ingested by mussel larvae.

Differences observed in the sizes of ingested cells by different species of bivalve larvae may be due to anatomical reasons such as the diameter of their mouth (passive selectivity) and/or an ability to discriminate between phytoplanktonic forms (active selectivity). To our knowledge, no comparative study has been made on mouth size for bivalve larvae. On the one hand, active selection of microalgae by veligers has been reported by Baldwin (1995) for C. virginica, by Gallager (1988) for Mercenaria mercenaria, by Loosanoff et al. (1955) for Venus mercenaria, by Mackie (1968) for C.virginica, and by Paulson and Scheltema (1968) for the gastropod *Nassarius obsoletus*. On the other hand, Ukeles and Sweeney (1969) and Fritz et al. (1984) observed that there was little or no selection of particles by C. virginica when food particles were in the same size range as the mouth of larvae. Our observations that similar-sized veligers fed on different sizes of prey suggests that active selection may be occurring in the natural environment, but more detailed observations in situ are required to elucidate the mechanisms of food selection.

Results of the present study showing that the sizes of ingested food particles differed between size classes for clams and mussels are most probably the result of passive selection due to increasing mouth size with body size. Larger clam larvae ingested more 5 to 15 um cells than the small larvae, and mussel larvae ingested fewer  $<5 \mu m$  cells and more 15 to 25  $\mu m$  cells as they became larger. From an energetics point of view, ingesting larger cells is probably more advantageous for a veliger than ingesting smaller ones, particularly as the volume of a 20 µm cell is 300 times that of a 3 µm cell. Even though protein and lipid concentration per unit volume decreases with increasing cell size due to the relatively larger vacuoles (Fogg and Thake 1987), it is likely that satiation can be achieved sooner by ingesting larger cells. The time and energy expended to catch and handle one large prey may be lower than that needed to catch hundreds of smaller prey items.

This study was conducted with veligers measuring from 175 to 405  $\mu$ m. It is possible that the diets of smaller veligers also vary. Lucas and Rangel (1981) reported different ingestion capabilities between mussel larvae of 8 and 15 d old, and Davis (1953) observed that the alga *Chlorella* sp. was not ingested by young oyster larvae, but was utilized during later larval stages. Adjusting the diet of veligers in hatcheries according to their species and stage of development may help to obtain better growth rates and survival (Gruffydd and Beaumont 1972; Lubet 1978). Another aspect of veliger feeding examined here was whether old veligers (prior to fixation) fed less than younger ones. In our results, there was no such decline for clams, but there was such a tendency for mussels. However, the low numbers of small mussels (28 for Class A) sampled compared to the two other size classes (226 and 302 for Classes B and C, respectively) did not allow us to demonstrate significant differences.

In conclusion, the stomach contents of bivalve veligers sampled in waters characterized by microbial components were dominated by cyanobacteria (<2  $\mu$ m) and small autotrophic flagellates (<5  $\mu$ m). Approximately 50% of all veligers examined contained cells measuring between 5 and 15  $\mu$ m, whereas only 3% contained algal cells between 15 and 25  $\mu$ m. Differences in the size ranges of ingested algal cells among similarsized larvae of different species suggest that veligers actively selected food particles. The dominance of <5  $\mu$ m phytoplankton in Baie des Chaleurs and in stomach contents suggests that veliger larvae may be an important export pathway for carbon produced by ultraplankton.

Acknowledgements We thank M. Boulé for organizing cruise logistics, C. Lovejoy and C. Michel for scientific advice, A. Demers, C. Berger, S. Marmen, F. Maturin, S. Ochman and the captain of R. V. "Alcide Horth" and his crew for their help during the sampling, and J. Ouellet and P. Gaulin for phytoplankton enumeration. Funding for this research was partly provided by OPEN, one of the 15 Networks of Centers of Excellence supported by the Government of Canada, and by grants to GIROQ from NSERC and the Fonds FCAR of Québec.

#### References

- Babinchak J, Ukeles R (1979) Epifluorescence microscopy, a technique for the study of feeding in *Crassostrea virginica* veliger larvae. Mar Biol 51: 69–76
- Baker SM, Mann R (1994) Feeding ability during settlement and metamorphosis in the oyster *Crassostrea virginica* (Gmelin, 1971) and the effects of hypoxia on post-settlement ingestion rates. J exp mar Biol Ecol 181: 239–253
- Baldwin BS (1995) Selective particle ingestin by oyster larvae (*Crassostrea virginica*) feeding on natural seston and cultured algae. Mar Biol 123: 95–107
- Baldwin BS, Newell RI (1991) Omnivorous feeding by planktotrophic larvae of the eastern oyster *Crassostrea virginica*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 78: 285–301
- Bayne BL (1965) Growth and delay of metamorphosis of the larvae of *Mytilus edulis* L. Ophelia 2: 1–47
- Bayne BL (1983) Physiological ecology of marine molluscan larvae. In: Verdonk NH et al. (eds) The Mollusca. Vol. 3. Academic Press, New York, pp 299–343
- Bernard C, Rassoulzadegan F (1993) The role of picoplankton (cyanobacteria and plastidic picoflagellates) in the diet of tintinnids. J Plankton Res 15(4): 361–373
- Claereboudt M, Côté J, Bonardelli J, Himmelman JH (1995) Seasonal variation in abundance and size structure of phytoplankton in Baie des Chaleurs, southwestern Gulf of St. Lawrence, in relation to physical oceanographic conditions. Hydrobiologia 306: 147–157
- Cushing DH (1989) A difference in structure between ecosystems in strongly stratified waters and in those that are only weakly stratified. J Plankton Res 11: 1–13

- Davis HC (1953) On food and feeding of larvae of the American oyster, C. virginica. Biol Bull mar biol Lab, Woods Hole 104: 334–350
- Douillet PA (1993) Carbon contribution through bacteriovory in larvae of the Pacific oyster *Crassostrea gigas*. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 102: 303–314
- Fritz LW, Lutz RA, Foote MA, Van Dover CL, Ewart JW (1984) Selective feeding and grazing rates of (*Crassostrea virginica*) larvae on natural phytoplankton assemblages. Estuaries 7: 513– 518
- Fogg GE, Thake B (1987) Algal cultures and phytoplankton ecology, 3rd edn. University of Wisconsin Press, Madison
- Gallager SC (1988) Visual observations of particle manipulation during feeding in larvae of a bivalve mollusc. Bull mar Sci 43: 344–365
- Gallager SC, Waterbury JB, Stoecker DK (1994) Efficient grazing and utilization of the marine cyanobacterium *Synechococcus* sp. by larvae of the bivalve *Mercenaria mercenaria*. Mar Biol 119: 251–259
- Goldman JC (1988) Spatial and temporal discontinuities of biological processes in pelagic surface waters. In: Rotschild BJ (ed) Toward a theory on biological-physical interactions in the world ocean. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, pp 273– 296
- Gruffydd LD, Beaumont AR (1972) A method for rearing *Pecten* maximus larvae in the laboratory. Mar Biol 15: 350–355
- Hansen B (1991) Feeding behaviour in larvae of the opisthobranch *Philine aperta*. Mar Biol 111: 263–270
- Harvey M, Bourget E, Miron G (1993) Settlement of Iceland scallop *Chlamys islandica* spat in response to hydroids and filamentous red algae: field observations and laboratory experiments. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 99: 283–292
- Joint IR (1986) Physiological ecology of picoplankton in various oceanographic provinces. Can Bull Fish aquat Sciences 214: 287–309
- Legendre L, Rassoulzadegan F (1995) Plankton and nutrient dynamics in marine waters. Ophelia 41: 153–172
- Loosanoff VL, Davis HC, Chanley PE (1955) Food requirements of some bivalve larvae. Proc natn Shellfish Ass 45: 66–83
- Lubet PE (1978) Nutrition des lamellibranches (huîtres, moules). Océanis 4(1): 23–54
- Lucas A, Rangel C (1981) Vitesses d'ingestion et de digestion du phytoplancton observées au microscope à épifluorescence chez les larves de *Mytilus edulis* (L.). Haliotis, Paris 11: 171–180
- Lund JWG, Kipling C, Lecren ED (1958) The inverted microscope method of estimating algal numbers and the statistical basis of estimations by counting. Hydrobiologia 11: 143–170
- Mackie G (1968) Quantitative studies of feeding in the oyster, Crassostrea virginica. Proc natn Shellfish Ass 59: 6–7
- Martin YP, Mengus BM (1977) Utilisation de souches bactériennes sélectionnées dans l'alimentation des larves de Mytilus galloprovincialis LMK (mollusque bivalve) en élevages expérimentaux. Aquaculture, Amsterdam 10: 253–262
- Mingelbier M (1995) Contrôle de la taile da phytoplancton marin dans des eaux côtiéres tempérées (Baie des Chaleurs, Golfe du Saint-Laurent). Ph.D. thesis, Université Laval, Québec City, Canada
- Murphy LS, Haugen EM (1985) The distribution and abundance of phototrophic ultraplankton in the North Atlantic. Limnol Oceanogr 30: 47–58
- Paulson TC, Scheltema RS (1968) Selective feeding on algal cells by the veliger larvae of *Nassarius obsoletus* (Gastropoda, Prosobranchia). Biol Bull mar biol Lab, Woods Hole 134: 481–489
- Pechenik JA, Eyster LS, Widdows J, Bayne BL (1990) The influence of food concentration and temperature on growth and morphological differentiation of blue mussel *Mytilus edulis* L. larvae. J exp mar Biol Ecol 136: 47–64
- Raby D, Lagadeuc Y, Dodson JJ, Mingelbier M (1994) Relationship between feeding and vertical distribution of bivalve larvae in stratified and mixed waters. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 103: 275–284
- Raven JA (1986) Physiological consequences of extremely small size for autotrophic organisms in the sea. In: Platt T, Li KW

672

(eds) Photosynthetic picoplankton. Can J Fish aquat Sciences 214: 1–70

- Riegman R, Kuipers BR, Noordeloos AAM, Witte HJ (1993) Sizedifferential control of phytoplankton and the structure of plankton communities. Neth J Sea Res 31: 255–265
- Riisgard HU, Randlov A, Kristensen PS (1980) Rates of water processing, oxygen consumption and efficiency of particle retention in veligers and young post-metamorphic *Mytilus edulis*. Ophelia 19(1): 37–47
- Sprung M (1984) Physiological energetics of mussel larvae *Mytilus* edulis L. II. Food uptake. Mar Ecol Prog Ser 17: 295–305
- Stockner JG, Antia NJ (1986) Algal picoplankton from marine and freshwater ecosystems: a multidisciplinary perspective. Can J Fish aquat Sciences 43: 2472–2503
- Tamigneaux E, Mingelbier M, Klein B, Legendre L (1997) Grazing by protists and seasonal changes in the size structure of pro-

tozooplankton and phytoplankton in a temperate nearshore environment (Western Gulf of St. Lawrence, Canada). Mar Ecol Prog Ser (in press)

- Tamigneaux E, Vasquez E, Mingelbier M, Klein B, Legendre L (1995) Environmental control of phytoplankton assemblages in nearshore marine waters, with special emphasis on phototrophic ultraplankton. J Plankton Res 17: 1421–1447
- Ukeles R, Sweeney M (1969) Influence of dinoflagellate trichocysts and other factors on the feeding of *Crassostrea virginica* larvae on *Monochrysis lutheri*. Limnol Oceanogr 13: 403–410
- Utermöhl H (1931) Neue Wege in der quantitativen Erfassung des Planktons (mit besonderer Berücksichtigung des Ultraplanktons). Verh int Verein theor angew Limnol 5: 567–596
- Walne PR (1965) Observations on the influence of food supply and temperature on the feeding and growth of the larvae of *Ostrea edulis* L. Fishery Invest, Lond (Ser 2) 24: 1–45